

Date: 19 th	June 201	2								
Course Title:	Project 1	Janus	iment							
Presenter/Facil)							
Please circle a r	esponse accor	ding to th	ne followi	ng scale:						
	1	2	3	4	5					
	Strongly	/ Disagre	ee	Stro	ongly A	jree				
Part 1 - Evalu	ıation									
 I feel confider on immediate 	nt that I have ta ly to make imp	ıken awa rovemen	y ideas ti ts in my	hat I can a work.	ct	1	2	3	4	5
 The time alloc 	cated for the pr	ogramme	e was ad		me	1	2	3	4	5
 The time sper and effective. 	nt attending the	program	nme was	well-utilise	ed	1	2	3	4	5
 The exercises assimilate the 	were relevant lessons from t	and usethis works	ful in help shop.	oing me		1	2	3	4	5
 I would attend again. 	a programme	of a simi	lar nature	e/content		1	2	3	4	5
course	the course the mo	tericil	very 1	useful	and v	real	ly I	en a	1046	don



Part 2 – Presenter					
 The facilitator presented the material in a clear and concise manner 	1	2	3	4	5
 The facilitator answered questions completely and thoroughly 	1	2	3	4	(5)
 The facilitator encouraged audience participation 	1	2	3	(4)	5
 The facilitator offered and gave assistance when needed 	1	2	3	4	(5)
 The facilitator demonstrated a good understanding of the subject matter 	1	2	3	4	5
Comments: Very well organized and full of Know friendly and cooperative to high level ex in teaching was amazing and modern. I Part 3 - Logistics to him	tent	· H	He lis u	is vay	s <ful< td=""></ful<>
 The venue was conducive to achieving the outcomes that I sought in undertaking this workshop. 	1	2	3	4	5
 The times and duration of each session, and the duration of the programme were conducive to achieving the results that I sought. 	1	2	3	4	5
Comments: Great as expected			-		
Part 4 – Content Are there any specific topics or subjects that you think should be	chan	ged in	the p	orogra	am? If
so, how? The looks good					
What information or topics presented during training were the mo	ost us	eful in	your	opini 'Fy	on?
What information or topics presented during training were the lea		eful?			
Dost implementation Review assesment					

2



Date:	19- J	v1y-	2012							
Course Title:	Diplo	ma ir) Da	plect	Mar	age	men	+		
Presenter/Facilita				,		J				
Please circle a resp	onse acco	ording to th	ne follow	ing scale) :					
	1	2	3	4	(5)					
	Strongl	y Disagre	e	S	trongly	Agree)			
Part 1 - Evaluat	ion									
 I feel confident the confident	nat I have t o make im	aken awa provemen	y ideas t ts in my	that I can work.	act	1	2	3	4	5
 The time allocate to capture the ke communicated. 	ed for the p by message	rogramme es that we	e was ad re being	lequate f	or me	1	2	3	4	5
 The time spent a and effective. 	ttending th	e program	nme was	well-utili	ised	1	2	3	4	5
The exercises we assimilate the les	ere relevan ssons from	t and uset this works	ful in hel shop.	ping me		1	2	3	4	5
I would attend a pagain.	programme	of a simil	lar natur	e/conten	t	1	2	3	4	5
Comments: The to extend outstand We took	ing of	-	# 1	Mr. L he (OULSE OULS	lask	user	pion	100	ike is
Knowledg Organizing	e & c	Laperi	ence	and	Than	4	to			0~



Part 2 – Presenter						
The facilitator presented the material in a clear and concise manner	1	2	3	4	(5)	
 The facilitator answered questions completely and thoroughly 	1	2	3	4	5	
The facilitator encouraged audience participation	1	2	3	4	5	
 The facilitator offered and gave assistance when needed 	1	2	3	4	5	
 The facilitator demonstrated a good understanding of the subject matter 	1	2	3	4	(5)	
Comments: HATS OFF TO Mr. Lov Ma great !!!!!	M.	S	Ì×/	40	V Olsa	2
 Part 3 – Logistics The venue was conducive to achieving the outcomes that I sought in undertaking this workshop. The times and duration of each session, and the duration of the programme were conducive to achieving the results that I sought. 	1	2	3	4	5	
Comments:						
Part 4 - Content Are there any specific topics or subjects that you think should be so, how? Thin 1 Lit's Just people						
What information or topics presented during training were the m						
All of them.						_
What information or topics presented during training were the le	east us	eful?				

None.

Thank you for taking the time to assist is in our review of this workshop.

2



Date:		9/07	1/12							
Course Title:	PMO									
Presenter/Facilita	tor:									
Please circle a resp	onse acco	rding to tl	ne follow	ing scale:						
	1	2	3	4	5					
	Strongl	y Disagro	ee	St	rongly	Agree				
Part 1 - Evaluat	ion									
 I feel confident the on immediately the confident the confi	hat I have to to make imp	aken awa Provemen	y ideas t its in my	hat I can work.	act	1	2	3	4	⑤
 The time allocate to capture the ke communicated. 	ed for the page by message	rogrammes that we	e was ac re being	lequate fo	or me	1	2	3	4	5
 The time spent a and effective. 	ittending the	e progran	nme was	well-utilis	sed	1	2	3	4	(5)
The exercises we assimilate the les				ping me		1	2	3	4	<u> </u>
 I would attend a pagain. 	programme	of a simi	ilar natur	e/content		1	2	3	4	5
Comments:										
								1		
								102-5		



			HEAL EX		
Part 2 – Presenter	د	•			Œ
The facilitator presented the material in a clear and concise manner	1	2	3	4	⑤
The facilitator answered questions completely and thoroughly	1	2	3	4	(5)
The facilitator encouraged audience participation	1	2	3	4	5
The facilitator offered and gave assistance when needed	1	2	3	4	(5
The facilitator demonstrated a good understanding of the subject matter	1	2	3	4	<u>(5</u>
Comments:					
Part 3 – Logistics The venue was conducive to achieving the outcomes that I sought in undertaking this workshop.	1	2	3	4	5
The times and duration of each session, and the duration of the programme were conducive to achieving the results that I sought.	1	2	3	4	5
Comments:					
Part 4 – Content					
Part 4 – Content Are there any specific topics or subjects that you think should be so, how?		jed in	the p	orograi	m? If

What information or topics presented during training were the least useful?

No all were important



Date	15-	19	171	2012								
Cour	se Title:	Pro	ject	Mar	agen	ent	Diple	=> ma	2			
Pres	enter/Fac	ilitator	:				22					
Pleas	e circle a	respon	se acco	rding to t	he follow	ing scale	e :					
			1	2	3	4	5					
			Strongi	y Disagr	ee	S	trongly	Agree	2			
Part	1 - Eval	luation	า									
• I fe	eel confide immediat	ent that tely to n	I have t nake imp	aken awa orovemer	ay ideas i nts in my	that I car work.	act	1	2	3	4	5
to o	e time alk capture th mmunicat	ne key n	or the p	rogramm s that we	e was ac ere being	lequate f	or me	1	2	3	4	5
• The	e time spe d effective	ent attei e.	nding th	e prograr	nme was	well-util	ised	1	2	3	4	5
• The	e exercise similate th	es were e lesso	relevan	t and use this work	eful in hel shop.	ping me		1	2	3	4	5
I woaga	ould atten iin.	d a pro	gramme	of a sim	ilar natur	e/conten	t	1	2	3	4	5
Comm	ents:		2									



Part 2 - Presenter

3 5 2 1 The facilitator presented the material in a clear and concise manner 2 3 5 1 The facilitator answered questions completely and thoroughly 2 3 The facilitator encouraged audience participation 2 3 The facilitator offered and gave assistance when needed 1 2 3 The facilitator demonstrated a good understanding of the

Comments:

Part 3 – Logistics

subject matter

- The venue was conducive to achieving the outcomes that I sought in undertaking this workshop.
- The times and duration of each session, and the duration of the programme were conducive to achieving the results that I sought.
- 3 2
- 2 3

Part 4 - Content

Comments:

Are there any specific topics or subjects that you think should be changed in the program? If

so, how? The test at the end of the Course was too theoring prefer multiple Charice Questions with more Answers & real Scenarios,

What information or topics presented during training were the most useful in your opinion?

HR Managment process, Change Contil process, mgt process, Communication

What information or topics presented during training were the least useful?



Date: 19-07-2012				
Course Title: Diploma of Project Ma	inac	yer	nen	t
Presenter/Facilitator:	<	J		
Please circle a response according to the following scale:				
1 2 3 4 5				
Strongly Disagree Strongly	Agree	_		
Part 1 - Evaluation				
 I feel confident that I have taken away ideas that I can act on immediately to make improvements in my work. 	1	2	3	4 5
 The time allocated for the programme was adequate for me to capture the key messages that were being communicated. 	1	2	3	4 5
 The time spent attending the programme was well-utilised and effective. 	1	2	3	4 5
 The exercises were relevant and useful in helping me assimilate the lessons from this workshop. 	1	2	3	4 5
I would attend a programme of a similar nature/content again.	1	2	3	4 5
Comments:				



eart 2 – Presenter	1	2	3	4	(5)
The facilitator presented the material in a clear and concise manner	h.E.º	-	Ū	·	
The facilitator answered questions completely and	1	2	3	4	(5)
thoroughly The facilitator encouraged audience participation	1	2	3	4	5
The facilitator offered and gave assistance when needed	1	2	3	4	5
The facilitator demonstrated a good understanding of the subject matter	1	2	3	4	5)
Comments:					
Part 3 – Logistics					
The venue was conducive to achieving the outcomes that I	1	2	3	4	5
 Part 3 – Logistics The venue was conducive to achieving the outcomes that I sought in undertaking this workshop. The times and duration of each session, and the duration of the programme were conducive to achieving the results that I sought. 	1	2	3	4	5
 The venue was conducive to achieving the outcomes that I sought in undertaking this workshop. The times and duration of each session, and the duration of the programme were conducive to achieving the results that I sought. 				4	5
 The venue was conducive to achieving the outcomes that I sought in undertaking this workshop. The times and duration of each session, and the duration of the programme were conducive to achieving the results that I sought. Comments: 	1	2	3		
 The venue was conducive to achieving the outcomes that I sought in undertaking this workshop. The times and duration of each session, and the duration of the programme were conducive to achieving the results that I sought. Comments:	1	2	3		

What information or topics presented during training were the least useful?



Course Title: PMO					
Presenter/Facilitator:					
Please circle a response according to the following so	cale:				
1 2 3 4	ļ	5			
Strongly Disagree	Stron	gly Agree	_		
Part 1 - Evaluation					
 I feel confident that I have taken away ideas that I on immediately to make improvements in my work. 	can act	1	2	3	4 5
 The time allocated for the programme was adequated to capture the key messages that were being communicated. 		e 1	2	3	4 (5)
 The time spent attending the programme was well- and effective. 	utilised	1	2	3	4 (5)
 The exercises were relevant and useful in helping r assimilate the lessons from this workshop. 	me	1	2	3	4 /5
I would attend a programme of a similar nature/con- again.	tent	1	2	3	4 5
Comments:					
Exellent					



			REAL EXPE	RIENCE, REAL RESULIS	
Part 2 – Presenter	1	2	3	4 5	
 The facilitator presented the material in a clear and conci manner 	30				
 The facilitator answered questions completely and thoroughly 	1	2	3	4 (5	1
• ,	1	2	3	4 (5)	
 The facilitator encouraged audience participation 	. 1	2	3	4 5	_
 The facilitator offered and gave assistance when needed 	· ·	_		1 5	/
 The facilitator demonstrated a good understanding of the subject matter)	2	3	4 5	
Comments:					
					_



- The venue was conducive to achieving the outcomes that I sought in undertaking this workshop.
- The times and duration of each session, and the duration of the programme were conducive to achieving the results that I sought.
- 1 2 3 4 5
- 1 2 3 4 5

Comments:

Part 4 - Content

Are there any specific topics or subjects that you think should be changed in the program? If so, how?

What information or topics presented during training were the most useful in your opinion?

What information or topics presented during training were the least useful?



C	ourse Title:	Project	Mar	nagme	10						
Pı	resenter/Facil	0		J							
PI	ease circle a re	esponse acco	rding to t	the follow	ing scale	:					
		1	2	3	4	5					
		Strongl	y Disagı	ree	St	rongly	Agree				
Pa	art 1 - Evalu	ation									
•	I feel confiden	it that I have t ly to make im	aken awa proveme	ay ideas t nts in my	hat I can work.	act	1	2	3	4	5)
•	The time alloc to capture the communicated	key message	rogrammes that we	ne was ad ere being	equate fo	or me	1	2	3	4	(5)
•	The time spen and effective.	t attending th	e progra	mme was	well-utili	sed	1	2	3	4	5
0	The exercises assimilate the	were relevan lessons from	t and use this work	eful in hel _l «shop.	ping me		1	2	3	4	(5 \
•	l would attend again.	a programme	of a sim	ilar natur	e/content	t	1	2	3	a	5
Со	mments:										
						-					



The facilitator presented the material in a clear and concise manner The facilitator answered questions completely and thoroughly	1	2	3	4	6)
manner The facilitator answered questions completely and		_	-		
	1	_	_		<u> </u>
		2	3	4	(5)
The facilitator encouraged audience participation	1	2	3	4	5
The facilitator offered and gave assistance when needed	1	2	3	4	(5)
The facilitator demonstrated a good understanding of the subject matter	1	2	3	4	5
Comments:					
Part 3 – Logistics The venue was conducive to achieving the outcomes that I	1	2	3	4	5
sought in undertaking this workshop.	a.	_	_		
The times and duration of each session, and the duration of the programme were conducive to achieving the results that I sought.	1	2	3	4	(5)
Comments:					
Part 4 – Content Are there any specific topics or subjects that you think should be	e chan			progra	



Date:	19/07/2012	2								
Course	Title: Project	Mano	igner	t						
	er/Facilitator:		0							
Please c	ircle a response a	ccording to	the follow	ing scale):					
	1	2	3	4	(5)					
	Stro	ngly Disag	ree	S	trongly	Agree				
Part 1 -	Evaluation									
I feel on imr	confident that I have nediately to make	ve taken aw improveme	ay ideas t	that I can work.	act	1	2	3	4	5
to cap	me allocated for th ture the key mess unicated.	e programn ages that w	ne was ac ere being	dequate f	or me	1	2	3	4	5
The tir and ef	ne spent attendino fective.	g the progra	mme was	well-utili	ised	1	2	3	4	5
The example assiming	cercises were rele late the lessons fr	vant and use om this worl	eful in hel kshop.	ping me		1	2	3	4	<u>(5)</u>
 I would again. 	d attend a progran	nme of a sim	nilar natur	e/conten	t	1	2	3	4	(5)
Comment	s: Comments									
	.510									



Part 2 - Presenter						
 The facilitator presented the material in a clear and manner 	concise	1	2	3	4	(5)
 The facilitator answered questions completely and thoroughly 		1	2	3	4	5
 The facilitator encouraged audience participation 		1	2	3	4	5
 The facilitator offered and gave assistance when ne 	eded	1	2	3	4	(5
 The facilitator demonstrated a good understanding of subject matter 	of the	1	2	3	4	5
Comments:					*	
Part 3 – Logistics		Jil.	•	•	(71)	e
 The venue was conducive to achieving the outcome sought in undertaking this workshop. 	es that I	1	2	3	(4)	5
 The times and duration of each session, and the du the programme were conducive to achieving the res I sought. 	ration of sults that	1	2	3	4	5
Comments: we already have Changed the	t/me.					
Part 4 – Content						
Are there any specific topics or subjects that you think so, how? That more defails on u						
	vere the mos		ful in	vour	opinio	n?



Date:

19th July 2012.

Workshop Evaluation

_(Course Title:	DIPLOMA	OF PRE	XIECT	MANAGE	SMEN	7 6	35B3	1407) (VAUER	AT I DIEL
	Presenter/Fac						1		/			777000
F	Please circle a	a response acc	cording to t	he follow	/ing scale:							
		1	2	3	4	5						
		Stron	gly Disagr	ee	St	ongly	Agree)				
F	Part 1 - Eva	luation										
•	l feel confid on immedia	lent that I have Itely to make ir	e taken awa nprovemer	ıy ideas i nts in my	that I can work.	act	1	2	3	4	(5)	
•	The time all to capture the communication	located for the he key messaq ted.	programm ges that we	e was ac re being	dequate fo	r me	1	2	3	4	5	
•	The time sp	ent attending t e.	the progran	nme was	s well-utilis	ed	1	2	3	4	⑤	
•	The exercise assimilate the	es were releva ne lessons fror	ant and use n this work	ful in hel shop.	ping me		1	2	3	4	⑤	
•	I would atter again.	nd a programn	ne of a simi	lar natur	re/content		1	2	3	4	⑤	
C	omments:											



Part 2 – Presenter					
The facilitator presented the material in a clear and concise manner	1	2	3	3	5
The facilitator answered questions completely and thoroughly	1	2	3	4	5
The facilitator encouraged audience participation	1	2	3	4	5
The facilitator offered and gave assistance when needed	1	2	3	4	Ġ
The facilitator demonstrated a good understanding of the subject matter	1	2	3	4	5
Comments:					
Part 3 – Logistics The venue was conducive to achieving the outcomes that I	1	2	3	Ø	5
sought in undertaking this workshop. The times and duration of each session, and the duration of the programme were conducive to achieving the results that I sought.	1	2	3	4	⑤
Comments:	-				
Part 4 – Content Are there any specific topics or subjects that you think should be so, how? N_o				progra	am? If